Development partner (DP) visual aid: BELGIUM (BTC, FLANDERS)

The 8 Effective Development Cooperation (EDC) practices in health are captured in 4 commitments. The first three commitments present the performance of the DPs against 6 EDC practices. The fourth commitment regards DP support to civil society and private sector.

**COMMITMENT TO ESTABLISH STRONG HEALTH SECTOR STRATEGIES WHICH ARE JOINTLY ASSESSED, AND STRENGTHEN ACCOUNTABILITY**

- **PARTNERS SUPPORT A SINGLE NATIONAL HEALTH STRATEGY**
  - Belgium: 100%
  - IHP+ DP average: 75%

- **MUTUAL ACCOUNTABILITY IS STRENGTHENED**
  - DP uses only national health sector indicators to monitor support
  - Belgium: 60%
  - IHP+ DP average: 46%

- **HEALTH DEVELOPMENT COOPERATION IS MORE PREDICTABLE**
  - Predictability of funds for future - DP has communicated forward looking expenditure for 3 years ahead to government
  - Belgium: 75%
  - IHP+ DP average: 86%

**COMMITMENT TO IMPROVE THE FINANCING, PREDICTABILITY AND FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT OF THE HEALTH SECTOR**

- **PUBLIC FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT (PFM) SYSTEMS ARE STRENGTHENED AND USED**
  - DP funds use national expenditure procedures. Note: data provided only for countries with CPIA 3.5 or higher
  - Belgium: 53%
  - IHP+ DP average: 60%

- **PROCUREMENT AND SUPPLY SYSTEMS ARE STRENGTHENED AND USED**
  - DP uses national procurement and supply systems
  - Belgium: 60%
  - IHP+ DP average: 41%

**COMMITMENT TO ESTABLISH, STRENGTHEN AND USE COUNTRY SYSTEMS**

- **PRIVATE SECTOR ENGAGEMENT**
  - DP supports private sector organisations (Support can include financing or technical support)
  - Belgium: 100%
  - IHP+ DP average: 48%

**COMMITMENT TO CREATE AN ENABLING ENVIRONMENT FOR CIVIL SOCIETY ORGANISATIONS AND PRIVATE SECTOR PARTICIPATION IN THE HEALTH SECTOR**

- **CIVIL SOCIETY ORGANISATIONS ENGAGEMENT**
  - DP supports CSOs. (Support can include consultation, financing, training and/or technical support)
  - Belgium: 100%
  - IHP+ DP average: 74%

**HOW TO READ THE PERFORMANCE PROFILE**

All data presented on this visual aid are self-reported by the development partner (DP), or provided by the ministry of health (MoH), the civil society organizations (CSOs) and private sector (PS) representatives in the 5 countries for which the DP reported (see list of countries at the back). All data provided by government, CSOs and PS have in principle been validated by MoH. Where possible, trends in performance are documented over the last 2 monitoring rounds (2014 and 2016). For complementary information such as the Country 2016 monitoring report please visit www.internationalhealthpartnership.net.
Unpacking country-level performance for Belgium

**Legend**
- Target achieved or exceeded
- Progress made, but not yet on target
- No or 0%
- Unknown
- N/A
- Not applicable
- Did not participate in monitoring round

### Total Official Development Assistance (ODA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>ODA as % of GDP</th>
<th>Percentage of ODA for health</th>
<th>Percentage of ODA health by modality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Participation in MA Processes
- 2016: ✔️ ✔️ ✔️ ✔️ ✔️

### Use of M&E
- 2016: ✗ ✗ ✔️ ✔️ ✔️

### % of Funds Disbursed
- **Target: 90%**
  - 2014: 84%
  - 2016: 92%

### Forward Looking Exp
- 2016: ✔️ ✗ ✔️ ✔️ ✔️

### Aid on Budget
- **Target: 85%**
  - 2014: 50%
  - 2016: 55%

### Use of PFM
- **Target: 80%**
  - 2014: 41%
  - 2016: 55%

### Procurement
- 2016: ✔️ ✗ ✔️ ✔️ ✔️

### Technical Assistance
- 2016: ✔️ ✔️ ✔️ ✔️ ✔️

### CSO Support
- 2016: ✔️ ✔️ ✔️ ✗ ✔️

### PS Support
- 2016: ✔️ ✔️ ✔️ ✔️ ✔️

### 2016 IHP+ Monitoring Round
- No or 0%
- Did not participate in monitoring round
- Unknown target achieved or exceeded