The 8 Effective Development Cooperation (EDC) practices in health are captured in 4 commitments. The first three commitments present the performance of the DPs against 6 EDC practices. The fourth commitment regards DP support to civil society and private sector. 

### Commitment 1: To establish strong health sector strategies which are jointly assessed, and strengthen accountability

**Partners support a single national health strategy**
- **Australia** 50%
- IHP+ DP average 75%

**Mutual accountability is strengthened**
- Australia 0%
- IHP+ DP average 46%

### Commitment 2: To improve the financing, predictability and financial management of the health sector

**Health development cooperation is more predictable**
- DP funds disbursed to the government according to agreed timelines.

### Commitment 3: To establish, strengthen and use country systems

**Public financial management (PFM) systems are strengthened and used**
- DP funds use national expenditure procedures. Note: data provided only for countries with CPIA 3.5 or higher.

**Procurement and supply systems are strengthened and used**
- DP uses national procurement and supply systems.

### Commitment 4: To create an enabling environment for civil society organisations and private sector participation in the health sector

**Civil society organisations engagement**
- DP supports CSOs. (Support can include consultation, financing, training and/or technical support)

**Private sector engagement**
- DP supports private sector organisations. (Support can include financing or technical support)

### How to read the performance profile

All data presented on this visual aid are self-reported by the development partner (DP), or provided by the ministry of health (MoH), the civil society organizations (CSOs) and private sector (PS) representatives in the 2 countries for which the DP reported (see list of countries at the back). All data provided by government, CSOs and PS have in principle been validated by MoH. Where possible, trends in performance are documented over the last 2 monitoring rounds (2014 and 2016). For complementary information such as the Country 2016 monitoring report please visit www.internationalhealthpartnership.net.
## Unpacking country-level performance for Australia

### Legend

- **Target achieved or exceeded**
- **Progress made, but not yet on target**
- **No or 0%**
- **Unknown**
- **N/A Not applicable**
- **Did not participate in monitoring round**

### ODA as % of GDP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Percentage of ODA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0.34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>0.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>0.29%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Percentage of ODA for health

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Percentage of ODA for Health</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Percentage of ODA health by modality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modality</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT FUNDING</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POOLED FUND</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SECTOR BUDGET</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MULTIPLE FUNDING</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Development Assistance for Health (DAH)

- **Cambodia**
- **Pakistan**

### Key Performance Indicators

#### USE OF M&E

- 2015: Target achieved or exceeded
- 2016: No or 0%

#### PARTICIPATION IN MA PROCESSES

- 2015: Target achieved or exceeded
- 2016: Target achieved or exceeded

#### % OF FUNDS DISBURSED

- 2014: Progress made, but not yet on target
- 2015: Did not participate in monitoring round

#### FORWARD LOOKING EXP

- 2014: Target achieved or exceeded
- 2016: Did not participate in monitoring round

#### AID ON BUDGET

- 2014: Target achieved or exceeded
- 2015: Target achieved or exceeded

#### USE OF PFM

- 2014: Target achieved or exceeded
- 2015: Target achieved or exceeded

#### PROCUREMENT

- 2014: Target achieved or exceeded
- 2015: Did not participate in monitoring round

#### TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

- 2015: Progress made, but not yet on target
- 2016: Target achieved or exceeded

#### CSO SUPPORT

- 2016: Target achieved or exceeded
- 2015: Target achieved or exceeded

#### PS SUPPORT

- 2016: Target achieved or exceeded
- 2015: Did not participate in monitoring round