Key messages – 1 – UHC

SDG 1: No poverty
SDG 4: Quality Education
SDG 5: Gender Equality
SDG 16: Inclusive societies

SDG 3: Equitable health outcomes and wellbeing; Global public health security and resilient societies

SGD 8: Inclusive economic growth and decent jobs

Universal Health Coverage
All people and communities receive the quality health services they need, without financial hardship

Health Systems Strengthening

Source: Kieny & al. 2016
Key messages – 2 – UHC2030

• June/Dec. UHC2030 meetings:

• Highlight that HSA should, in the SDG era, inform on what is needed in countries to progress towards UHC

• Recognize the issue of multiplicity of HSA in countries, particularly Low and Middle income countries with important external aid

• Recognize the need for HSA H&A (IHP+ agenda, compacts and JANS mechanisms, etc.)

• Recognize the importance in UHC2030, of linking HSA and HSPA agendas
Objectives of the UHC2030 TWG

- to recommend options for conducting a more harmonized and aligned HSA, with practical guidance on the optimal country-led and demand-driven process
- to recommend a common, adaptable annotated framework for health systems performance assessment
Key messages – 3 – Countries

- HSA business – a “zoo” in some countries
- Not always well balanced (eg. Diseases vs sector)
- Not always country owned
- Not always harmonized and aligned
- Not always clear regarding scope and objectives
- Not always well embedded in the broader planning cycle
- Not always used for decision making, reforms, performance improvement
- Importance of media and link with politicians

Guinea, Hungary, Tanzania, Nigeria
Key messages – 4 – HSA & HSPA

- HSA – Diagnostic
  - Situation
  - Policy options
  - Baselines, targets, --- Performance

- HSPA – Performance: how does the HS deliver?
  - Monitoring
  - Evaluation
  - Communication

Turkey: well done HSPA leads to better strategizing
Key messages – 5 – Evaluation Industry

● WHY?
  – Currently
    • Either country-process related, or grant / loan application related
  – Should be
    • Purpose needs to be clearly defined
    • Embedded in the national health planning process

● HOW?
  – Currently
    • Tool design out of context
    • (often) Low level of country ownership
  – Should be
    • Fully owned by country – multi-stakeholders
    • Common template for comparability and H&A
Key messages – 5 – Eval° Indus. (Cont’d)

● WHEN?
  – Currently
    • “a zoo” –various situations
  – Should be
    • 3-5 years
    • Performance: permanent (HSPA institution) / MTRs/JARs

● WHO?
  – Currently
    • Government and agency driven, with a different level of participation and inclusivity
  – Should be
    • Government-owned, with all relevant stakeholders involved including civil society, private sector and communities
Key messages – 6 – Options for H&A

- **Template (and not framework!)**
  - Should be broad comprehensive joint template
  - Joint template depth should reflect the level of content depth of reviewed HSA tools

- **Handling of indicators**
  - Linkage and close cooperation with Health Data Collaborative for improved handling of indicators
Key messages – 6 – Options for H&A

- **Deep dives**
  - WG should Focus on HSA (scope of the TWG)
  - A solid common joint template will automatically link to deep dive tools
  - Deep dives should not be technocratic, but rather lead to policy options to discuss

- **Follow-up**
  - HSA to be effective needs to lead to policy options, set baselines & targets and performance reviews
  - Template should include policy options & follow-up
Key messages – 7 – HSA <-> HSPA

- One community and link sub-communities HSA and HSPA together
- Develop a common HS(P)A definition including the place of HSPA in achieving UHC and SDGs
- Bring communities closer via knowledge sharing platforms
- Provide guidance on institutionalization
- Define and agree on common criteria for measuring performance (equity, efficiency, quality)
- Guidance on HSPA process (contextualized)
NEXT STEPS OF TWG

DISCUSSION

- Common definition of HS(P)A
- Common template for HS(P)A – how to do it? Existing tools as baseline, agreement at cty level to use one common agreed tool
- Agreement on a common set of core indicators and their definition in the HS(P)A – link with HDC (normative set of indicators)
- Agreement on process related guidance on how to undertake HS(P)A (emphasize on H&A, how to have less assessments at country level, roles & responsibilities)
- Development of knowledge platforms (e.g. policy focus groups on specific topics such as MTR refocus on performance, institutional arrangements etc.)
- Data repository (information, country experience, indicator glossary etc.)
- Issue paper (characteristics of a sound HS(P)A - gov-led process)
TWG organizational aspects

- Decision making process
- Co-Chairs
- Tier groups
- Periodicity TCs and Meetings
- Online web platform